arXiv:1006.5142v1 [math.NT] 26 Jun 2010

ON WARING'S PROBLEM: TWO CUBES AND TWO MINICUBES

SIU-LUN ALAN LEE*

ABSTRACT. We establish that almost every positive integer n is the sum of four cubes, two of which are at most n^θ, as long as $\theta \geq 192/869$. An asymptotic formula for the number of such representations is established when $1/4 < \theta < 1/3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Davenport proved in [6] that almost every natural number can be expressed as a sum of four positive integral cubes. It is now known that when N is sufficiently large, the number of positive integers at most N that fail to be written in such a way is slightly smaller than $N^{37/42}$. Since any integer congruent to 4 (mod 9) is never a sum of three cubes, the number of summands here cannot in general be reduced. A heuristic argument shows, however, that one of the four cubes is almost redundant. This motivates the work of Brüdern and Wooley (see [5]) on the representation of almost all positive integers as a sum of four cubes, one of which is small (henceforth we call this a *minicube*). They have shown that such a minicube can be as small as $n^{5/36}$ without obstructing the existence of representations. This raises the question as to whether we can restrict not only one, but two (or even more) of the cubes in such representation to be minicubes, and still get an almost all result. The purpose of this paper is to investigate representations of natural numbers by sums of four cubes, two of which are small.

When n is a positive integer and $\theta > 0$, write $r_\theta(n)$ for the number of integral solutions to the equation

$$n = x_1^3 + x_2^3 + y_1^3 + y_2^3 \tag{1.1}$$

where x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 are natural numbers satisfying $y_1, y_2 \leq n^\theta$. Plainly any one of these variables satisfying this equation must be at most $n^{1/3}$, so a trivial upper bound for θ is $1/3$. A formal application of the circle method suggests that

$$r_\theta(n) \sim \frac{\Gamma(4/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)} \mathfrak{S}(n) n^{2\theta - 1/3},$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11P05, 11P55.

Key words and phrases. Waring's problem, sums of cubes, Hardy-Littlewood method.

The author is supported by the University of Bristol Overseas Centenary Postgraduate Research Scholarship.

with $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ being the familiar singular series associated with the representation of positive integers as sums of four cubes. Recalling the estimate $\mathfrak{S}(n) \gg 1$ (see Exercise 3 of section 4.6 of [13]), we therefore anticipate that $r_\theta(n) \geq 1$ as long as n is large enough and $\theta > 1/6$. We establish this for almost all n, in section 2, for values of θ rather smaller than 2/9.

Theorem 1.1. *Whenever* $\theta \geq 192/869$, *we have that* $r_\theta(n) \geq 1$ *for almost all integers* n.

In some sense, the sum of two cubes and two minicubes at most n^θ employed in the representation (1.1) carry the same weight as $2 + 6\theta$ cubes. Thus Theorem 1.1 asserts that almost every natural number n is the sum of at most 3.326 cubes.

In section 6, we establish the asymptotic formula for $r_\theta(n)$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Whenever* $1/4 < \theta < 1/3$, *the asymptotic formula*

$$r_\theta(n) = \frac{\Gamma(4/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)}\mathfrak{S}(n)n^{2\theta-1/3} + O(n^{2\theta-1/3}(\log n)^{-1}) \tag{1.2}$$

holds for almost all positive integers n.

This result can be compared with Brüdern and Wooley's result (see Theorem 1.2 of [5]) on representations as sums of three cubes and a minicube. Our range of permissible values of θ is identical to that obtained in the latter paper.

We establish Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 using the Hardy-Littlewood method. We begin in section 2 by laying the foundations for the application of this method. This leads to a lower bound for the contribution from the major arcs. Some auxiliary mean value estimates vital to the proof of Theorem 1.1 are then introduced. Bessel's inequality is used to relate the exceptional set to a minor arc estimate. Following three pruning processes, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. The derivation of the asymptotic formula in Theorem 1.2 is covered in section 6 and essentially follows by conventional means.

Throughout this paper, we use ε to denote an arbitrarily small positive constant. The implicit constants in Vinogradov's well-known notations $\ll$ and $\gg$ will depend at most on ε. Whenever ε appears in a statement, either implicitly or explicitly, we assert that the statement is true for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Note that the 'value' of ε will change from statement to statement. The letter ϖ always denotes a prime, and any variable denoted by the letter p (with or without subscripts) will be a prime that is congruent to 2 (mod 3). As usual, write $e(z) = e^{2\pi i z}$.

The author would like to thank Trevor Wooley for his guidance and comments during the course of this research.

2. EXISTENCE OF REPRESENTATIONS

We begin our proof of Theorem 1.1 by introducing the basic ingredients for the application of the Hardy-Littlewood method.

Fix a large integer N. Let θ be a positive number with $\theta \le 1/3$. Define

$$P = (N/4)^{1/3}, \qquad R = P^{3\theta}, \qquad Y = P^{11/79}, \qquad L = (\log P)^{10}. \tag{2.1}$$

We take η to be a sufficiently small (but fixed) positive number, and then define the set of smooth numbers

$$\mathcal{A}(R) = \{m \in [1, R] \cap \mathbb{Z} : \varpi \text{ prime and } \varpi | m \Rightarrow \varpi \le R^{\eta}\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Also, when $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, define the generating functions

$$f(\alpha) = \sum_{P<x\le 2P} e(\alpha x^3) \qquad \text{and} \qquad h(\alpha) = \sum_{y\in\mathcal{A}(R)} e(\alpha y^3). \tag{2.3}$$

When X and Z are positive numbers, define

$$\mathcal{A}^*(X, Z) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [1, X] : \varpi | n \Rightarrow \varpi \le Z^{\eta}\}.$$

Put $\mathcal{B}(X, Z) = \mathcal{A}^*(2X, Z) \backslash \mathcal{A}^*(X, Z)$. Note that $\mathcal{A}(X) = \mathcal{A}^*(X, X)$. Fix $\tau > 0$ with the property that $\tau^{-1} > 852 + 16\sqrt{2833} \approx 1703.6$. Define $J = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}\tau \log P \rfloor$, and when $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, write

$$K(\alpha) = \sum_{2^{-J}Y<p\le Y} \sum_{w\in\mathcal{B}(P/p,2P/Y)} e(\alpha p^3 w^3). \tag{2.4}$$

For all $\theta > 0$ and integers n with $N < n \le 2N$, let $\rho_\theta(n)$ denote the number of integral solutions to the equation

$$n = x^3 + (pw)^3 + y_1^3 + y_2^3, \tag{2.5}$$

with

$$P < x \le 2P, \qquad 2^{-J}Y < p \le Y, \qquad w \in \mathcal{B}(P/p, 2P/Y), \qquad y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{A}(R).$$

It is apparent that $r_\theta(n) \ge \rho_\theta(n)$, and our goal is to establish a lower bound for $\rho_\theta(n)$ that produces the desired lower bound for $r_\theta(n)$. To this end, for any measurable subset $\mathfrak{B}$ of $[0, 1)$, define

$$\rho_\theta(n; \mathfrak{B}) = \int_{\mathfrak{B}} f(\alpha)K(\alpha)h(\alpha)^2 e(-n\alpha)\, \mathrm{d}\alpha. \tag{2.6}$$

By orthogonality, we have $\rho_\theta(n) = \rho_\theta(n; [0, 1))$ for all integers n with $N < n \le 2N$.

We analyse this integral using the Hardy-Littlewood method. When $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $0 \le a \le q \le L$ and $(a, q) = 1$, define

$$\mathfrak{P}(q, a) = \{\alpha \in [0, 1) : |\alpha - a/q| \le LN^{-1}\}. \tag{2.7}$$

In addition, for any positive number X, when $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $0 \le a \le q \le X$ and $(a, q) = 1$, define

$$\mathfrak{M}(q, a; X) = \{\alpha \in [0, 1) : |q\alpha - a| \le XP^{-3}\}. \tag{2.8}$$

With this in mind, we define the *major arcs* $\mathfrak{P}$ to be the union of the arcs $\mathfrak{P}(q, a)$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $0 \le a \le q \le L$ and $(a, q) = 1$. Similarly, when $1 \le X \le N^{1/2}$, define the major arcs $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ to be the union of the arcs $\mathfrak{M}(q, a; X)$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $0 \le a \le q \le X$ and $(a, q) = 1$. Their respective complements in $[0, 1)$ are the *minor arcs* $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{m}(X)$. The major arcs $\mathfrak{P}$ are of central interest in our argument, with $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ employed as a tool for pruning the minor arc $\mathfrak{p}$ later.

3. Major arc estimate

The familiar approach to estimating the major arc contribution $\rho_\theta(n;\mathfrak{P})$, which we largely follow, is to approximate the generating functions in the integrand of (2.6) by some suitably well-behaved functions. First, when $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$S(q,a) = \sum_{r=1}^{q} e(ar^3/q). \tag{3.1}$$

Also, when β is a real number and Z is a positive number, write

$$v(\beta;Z) = \int_{Z}^{2Z} e(\beta\gamma^3)\,\mathrm{d}\gamma. \tag{3.2}$$

In particular, write $v(\beta)$ for $v(\beta;P)$. Recall from Theorem 4.1 of [13] that when $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$f(\alpha) = q^{-1}S(q,a)v(\alpha - a/q) + O(q^{1/2+\varepsilon}(1 + P^3|\alpha - a/q|)^{1/2}). \tag{3.3}$$

In particular, for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}(q,a) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$, one obtains from (2.7) the relation

$$f(\alpha) = q^{-1}S(q,a)v(\alpha - a/q) + O(L^{1+\varepsilon}). \tag{3.4}$$

Similarly, it follows from Lemma 8.5 of [15] that for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}(q,a) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$,

$$h(\alpha) = q^{-1}S(q,a)h(0) + O(RL^{-5}). \tag{3.5}$$

As in the argument on p.13 of [5], it is a consequence of this lemma that there exists a positive constant C with the property that for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}(q,a) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$,

$$K(\alpha) = Cq^{-1}S(q,a)v(\alpha - a/q) + O(PL^{-5}). \tag{3.6}$$

When $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$u(\beta) = Ch(0)^2 v(\beta)^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Successive applications of (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) then yield the relation

$$f(\alpha)K(\alpha)h(\alpha)^2 = \left(q^{-1}S(q,a)\right)^4 u(\alpha - a/q) + O(P^2R^2L^{-5}) \tag{3.8}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}(q,a)$. For all positive integers q and n, write

$$A(q,n) = \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \left(q^{-1}S(q,a)\right)^{-4} e(-an/q). \tag{3.9}$$

In addition, when n is a natural number, define

$$\mathfrak{S}(n;L) = \sum_{1\le q\le L} A(q,n) \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$J(n;L) = \int_{-L/N}^{L/N} u(\beta)e(-n\beta)\,\mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{3.11}$$

Note that the measure of $\mathfrak{P}$ is $O(L^3/N)$, so integrating both sides of (3.8) against $e(-n\alpha)$ over $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}$ yields

$$\rho_\theta(n;\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{S}(n;L)J(n;L) + O(P^{-1}R^2L^{-2}). \tag{3.12}$$

Next recall the estimate

$$v(\beta) \ll P(1+P^3|\beta|)^{-1}, \tag{3.13}$$

obtained via integration by parts. This ensures that the completed singular integral

$$J(n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(\beta)e(-n\beta)\,\mathrm{d}\beta \tag{3.14}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly in n. Also, since $h(0) \ll R$, we have

$$J(n) - J(n;L) \ll R^2P^2 \int_{L/N}^{\infty} (1+P^3\beta)^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}\beta \ll R^2P^{-1}L^{-1}. \tag{3.15}$$

Finally, the value of the singular integral can be computed to be

$$J(n) = Ch(0)^2\frac{\Gamma(4/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)}n^{-1/3}, \tag{3.16}$$

in accordance with the methods outlined on pages 21 and 22 of [7].

Meanwhile, Theorem 4.3 of [13] ensures that the singular series

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A(q,n) \tag{3.17}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly in n. Also, equation (1.3) of [8] shows that $1 \ll \mathfrak{S}(n) \ll (\log\log n)^4$. In addition, the argument on p.14 of [5] demonstrates that

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) - \mathfrak{S}(n;L) \ll L^{-1/16} \tag{3.18}$$

for all but $O(NL^{-1/16})$ integers n with $N < n \leq 2N$. Finally, $|\mathfrak{S}(n;L)| \gg 1$ for all but $O(NL^{-1/16})$ integers n with $N < n \leq 2N$.

Equations (3.12), (3.15), (3.18) and (3.16) together thus lead to the asymptotic lower bound

$$\rho_\theta(n;\mathfrak{P}) \gg \mathfrak{S}(n)n^{2\theta-1/3} + O(n^{2\theta-1/3}(\log n)^{-1/16}), \tag{3.19}$$

valid for all integers n with $N < n \leq 2N$, with at most $O(N(\log N)^{-1/16})$ exceptions. We summarise this conclusion in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *For all but $O(N(\log N)^{-1/16})$ integers n with $N < n \leq 2N$, we have $\rho_\theta(n;\mathfrak{P}) \gg n^{2\theta-1/3}$.*

4. AUXILIARY ESTIMATES

We now establish several mean value estimates of generating functions that are required in the evaluation of the minor arc contribution $\rho_\theta(n;\mathfrak{p})$ in the following section.

When $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Q \geq 1$, write

$$g(\alpha) = \sum_{Q<y\leq 2Q} e(\alpha y^3). \tag{4.1}$$

We record for future reference the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Whenever $R \leq Q^{2/3}$, we have*

$$\int_0^1 |g(\alpha)^2 h(\alpha)^6| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \ll QR^{13/4-\tau}.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 2.1 of [5]. ☐

This gives rise to the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.2. *Let*

$$T_1 = \int_0^1 |f(\alpha)^2 h(\alpha)^6| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \qquad \textit{and} \qquad T_2 = \int_0^1 |K(\alpha)^2 h(\alpha)^6| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha$$

Then whenever $R \leq (P/2)^{2/3}$, we have

$$T_1 \ll PR^{13/4-\tau} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad T_2 \ll PR^{13/4-\tau}.$$

Proof. The first inequality is immediate from Lemma 4.1 on taking $Q = P/2$. To estimate the latter mean value, observe that if $P/2 < m \leq P$, and $m = pw$ for some prime p and integer w occuring in the summations of (2.4), then since $2^{-J}Y > R^\eta$, the pair (p, w) is uniquely defined. Hence, by orthogonality, it follows on considering the underlying diophantine equations that $T_2 \leq T_1$. The required result thus again follows from Lemma (4.1). ☐

We also quote the following useful lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Whenever $1 \leq Q \leq P$, we have*

$$\int_0^1 |f(\alpha)^2 g(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \ll P^\varepsilon (PQ^2 + P^{-1}Q^{9/2}).$$

Proof. This is the first estimate of Lemma 2.3 of [5]. ☐

The following result is a direct consequence of this lemma.

Corollary 4.4. *Whenever $R \leq (P/2)^{2/3}$, we have the estimate*

$$\int_0^1 |K(\alpha)^2 h(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \ll P^{1+\varepsilon} R^2.$$

Proof. As in the argument of the proof of Corollary 4.2, we have

$$\int_0^1 |K(\alpha)^2 h(\alpha)^4| \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \le \int_0^1 |f(\alpha)^2 g(\alpha)^4| \, \mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

The desired conclusion is thus immediate from Lemma 4.3. □

Next we define the mean value

$$U_1 = \int_0^1 |K(\alpha)|^8 \, \mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

By considering the underlying diophantine equation, it follows from Theorem 2 of [10] that

$$U_1 \ll P^5. \tag{4.2}$$

Introduce the function $f^* : [0,1) \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$f^*(\alpha) = \begin{cases} q^{-1} S(q,a) v(\alpha - a/q), & \text{when } \alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q,a;P^{6/5}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(P^{6/5}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Also, when $R \le X \le P^{6/5}$, let

$$U(X) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}(2X)\setminus\mathfrak{M}(X)} |f^*(\alpha)|^8 \, \mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

Finally, define

$$U_2 = \int_{\mathfrak{M}(R)\setminus\mathfrak{P}} |f^*(\alpha)|^{16/3} \, \mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

Then we have the following estimates.

Lemma 4.5. *Whenever* $R \le X \le P^{6/5}$, *we have*

$$U(X) \ll P^5 X^{\varepsilon - 4/3} \qquad \text{and} \qquad U_2 \ll P^{7/3} L^{-4/9}.$$

Proof. These two inequalities are established by the argument of Lemma 5.1 of [11]. □

When $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and Z is a positive number, write

$$w(\beta; Z) = \int_0^Z e(\beta \gamma^3) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma. \tag{4.4}$$

Also, when $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < Z \le R$, let

$$F^*(\alpha, Z) = \begin{cases} q^{-1} S(q,a) w(\alpha - a/q; 2P), & \text{when } \alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q,a;Z), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

When $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [1, R]$, let

$$j(\alpha; \mathcal{B}) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}} e(\alpha x^3). \tag{4.6}$$

Finally, when $t > 0$, $1 < Z \le R$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [1, R]$, put

$$U_3(t; Z; \mathcal{B}) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}(Z)} |f^*(\alpha)^t j(\alpha; \mathcal{B})^6| \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$U_4(t;Z;\mathcal{B}) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}(Z)} |F^*(\alpha;Z)^t j(\alpha;\mathcal{B})^6|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha. \tag{4.8}$$

We give upper bounds for these two integrals in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Let* $1 < Z \leq R$ *and* $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [1,R]$. *Then when* $t > 2$, *we have*

$$U_3(t;Z;\mathcal{B}) \ll P^{t-3}R^6.$$

On the other hand, when $t > 3$, *we have the same upper bound for* $U_4(t;Z;\mathcal{B})$.

Proof. This argument is largely akin to that given in the proof of Lemma 5.4 of [14]. Define the arithmetic function w multiplicatively by

$$w(\varpi^{3u+v}) = \begin{cases} 3\varpi^{-u-1/2}, & \text{if } u \geq 0 \text{ and } v = 1, \\ \varpi^{-u-1}, & \text{if } u \geq 0 \text{ and } v \in \{2,3\}. \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

Then from Lemmata 4.3, 4.4 and Theorem 4.2 of [13], we deduce that when $(a,q) = 1$, we have

$$q^{-1}S(q,a) \ll w(q). \tag{4.10}$$

When $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 < Z \leq R$, $\theta_1 > 2$ and $\theta_2 > 1$, let

$$\Upsilon(\alpha;\theta_1,\theta_2;Z) = \begin{cases} w(q)^{\theta_1}(1 + P^3|\alpha - a/q|)^{-\theta_2}, & \text{when } \alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q,a;Z), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

With θ_1, θ_2 and Z as above and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [1,R]$, write

$$T(\theta_1,\theta_2;Z,\mathcal{B}) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}(Z)} |\Upsilon(\alpha;\theta_1,\theta_2;Z) j(\alpha;\mathcal{B})^6|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha. \tag{4.12}$$

Recall the definition (4.3) of f^*. The estimates (4.10) and (3.13) imply that when $t > 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}(Z)} |f^*(\alpha)^t j(\alpha;\mathcal{B})^6|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha \ll P^t T(t,t;Z;\mathcal{B}). \tag{4.13}$$

On the other hand, Theorem 7.3 of [13] yields

$$w(\beta;U) \ll U(1 + U^3|\beta|)^{-1/3} \tag{4.14}$$

for all positive numbers U. This, together with (4.5), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12), give rise to

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}(Z)} |F^*(\alpha;Z)^t j(\alpha;\mathcal{B})^6|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha \ll P^t T(t,t/3;Z;\mathcal{B}). \tag{4.15}$$

We therefore require an upper bound for T. Here we prove that when $\theta_1 > 2$, $\theta_2 > 1$, $1 < Z \leq R$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [1,R]$, we have

$$T(\theta_1,\theta_2;Z;\mathcal{B}) \ll P^{-3}R^6 \tag{4.16}$$

Substituting (4.11) into (4.12) yields

$$T(\theta_1,\theta_2;Z;\mathcal{B}) \leq \sum_{1\leq q\leq Z} w(q)^{\theta_1} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a;Z)} (1+P^3|\alpha-a/q|)^{-\theta_2} \Big|\sum_{y\in\mathcal{B}} e(y^3\alpha)\Big|^6 \,\mathrm{d}\alpha,$$

where we have removed the coprimality condition $(a,q)=1$ in the a-summation. Recalling the definition (2.8) of the major arcs $\mathfrak{M}(q,a;Z)$ and making the change of variables $\alpha = a/q+\beta$ in the integral, we obtain

$$T(\theta_1,\theta_2;Z;\mathcal{B}) \le \sum_{1\le q\le Z} w(q)^{\theta_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+P^3|\beta|)^{-\theta_2} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \Big| \sum_{y\in\mathcal{B}} e\Big(y^3(\beta+a/q)\Big)\Big|^6 \,\mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{4.17}$$

Here we have extended the range of integration from $|\beta| \le q^{-1}ZP^{-3}$ to the whole real line. This is valid as the completed integral evidently converges absolutely.

When $\boldsymbol{y}=(y_1,...,y_6)$ with integral coordinates $y_1,...,y_6$, write

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{y}) = y_1^3 - y_2^3 + y_3^3 - y_4^3 + y_5^3 - y_6^3. \tag{4.18}$$

Expanding the innermost sum in (4.17) and then swapping the a- and $\boldsymbol{y}$-sums, we see that

$$\sum_{a=1}^{q} \Big| \sum_{y\in\mathcal{B}} e\Big(y^3(\beta+a/q)\Big)\Big|^6 = \sum_{y_1,\dots,y_6\in\mathcal{B}} e(\beta\Psi(\boldsymbol{y})) \sum_{a=1}^{q} e(a\Psi(\boldsymbol{y})/q).$$

The a-sum here is zero unless $q|\Psi(\boldsymbol{y})$, in which case it equals q. Thus

$$\sum_{a=1}^{q} \Big| \sum_{y\in\mathcal{B}} e\Big(y^3(\beta+a/q)\Big)\Big|^6 = q \sum_{\substack{y_1,\dots,y_6\in\mathcal{B}\\ q|\Psi(\boldsymbol{y})}} e(\beta\Psi(\boldsymbol{y})). \tag{4.19}$$

Let $\rho(q)$ be the number of solutions to the congruence $\Psi(\boldsymbol{y}) \equiv 0 \pmod q$, under the constraint that each coordinate of $\boldsymbol{y}$ is a positive integer not exceeding q. A trivial estimate then gives

$$\sum_{\substack{y_1,\dots,y_6\in\mathcal{B}\\ q|\Psi(\boldsymbol{y})}} e(\beta\Psi(\boldsymbol{y})) \le \sum_{\substack{1\le y_1,\dots,y_6\le R\\ q|\Psi(\boldsymbol{y})}} 1 \ll (R/q+1)^6\rho(q). \tag{4.20}$$

By orthogonality, it follows from the definitions (3.1) and (4.18), of $S(q,a)$ and $\Psi(\boldsymbol{y})$ respectively, that

$$q\rho(q) = \sum_{a=1}^{q} |S(q,a)|^6 = \sum_{a=1}^{q} (q,a)^6 \Big| S\Big(\frac{q}{(q,a)}, \frac{a}{(q,a)}\Big)\Big|^6.$$

Hence Theorem 4.2 of [13] yields

$$q\rho(q) \ll \sum_{a=1}^{q} (q,a)^6((q/(q,a))^{2/3})^6 = q^4 \sum_{a=1}^{q} (q,a)^2 \ll q^6. \tag{4.21}$$

Putting (4.19), (4.20) and (4.21) together, we see that the double sum within the integral in (4.17) has the asymptotic upper bound

$$q(R/q+1)^6\rho(q) \ll (R/q+1)^6 q^6 = (R+q)^6 \ll R^6.$$

Inserting this estimate into (4.17), we obtain

$$T(\theta_1,\theta_2;Z,\mathcal{B}) \ll P^{-3}R^6 \sum_{1\le q\le Z} w(q)^{\theta_1}. \tag{4.22}$$

It thus remains to show that the sum here is uniformly bounded over Z.

Now that w is a multiplicative function, it suffices to evaluate the values of $w(\varpi^{3u+v})^{\theta_1}$ for all primes ϖ in the analysis of the q-sum in (4.22). From the definition (4.9) of w, we readily confirm that for any prime ϖ, we have the bounds

$$w(\varpi^{3u+v})^{\theta_1} \ll_{\theta_1} \begin{cases} \varpi^{-\theta_1 u-\theta_1/2}, & \text{if } u \geq 0 \text{ and } v=1, \\ \varpi^{-\theta_1 u-\theta_1}, & \text{if } u \geq 0 \text{ and } v \in \{2,3\}. \end{cases}$$

This reveals that for each ϖ, we have

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} w(\varpi^h)^{\theta_1} \ll_{\theta_1} \varpi^{-\theta_1/2}.$$

This ensures the existence of a positive number $A = A(\theta_1)$ for which the q-sum in (4.22) is bounded above by the Euler product

$$\prod_{\varpi \leq Z} \Big(1 + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} w(\varpi^h)^{\theta_1}\Big) \ll \prod_{\varpi \leq Z} (1 + A\varpi^{-\theta_1/2}) \ll \prod_{\varpi} (1+\varpi^{-\theta_1/2})^A \ll_{\theta_1} 1.$$

The given condition $\theta_1 > 2$ validates the last inequality above. The desired inequality (4.16) follows immediately.

The lemma thus follows by putting together the inequalities (4.13), (4.15) and (4.16), and recalling the definitions (4.7) and (4.8) of U_3 and U_4 respectively. □

5. MINOR ARC ESTIMATE

On recalling (2.1), it follows from Proposition 3.1 that for almost all integers n with $N < n \leq 2N$, we have

$$\rho_\theta(n;\mathfrak{P}) \gg P^{-1}R^2.$$

We therefore seek to show that the minor arc contribution $\rho_\theta(n;\mathfrak{p})$ is $o(P^{-1}R^2)$ for almost all such n. For any measurable subset $\mathfrak{B}$ of $[0,1)$, write

$$S(\mathfrak{B}) = \sum_{N<n\leq 2N} |\rho_\theta(n;\mathfrak{B})|^2. \tag{5.1}$$

The desired bound for $\rho_\theta(n;\mathfrak{p})$ follows if we can establish the relation

$$S(\mathfrak{p}) = o(PR^4). \tag{5.2}$$

First introduce the arcs

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m} &= \mathfrak{m}(PY^3), & \mathfrak{D} &= \mathfrak{M}(PY^3)\backslash\mathfrak{M}(P^{6/5}), \\ \mathfrak{U} &= \mathfrak{M}(P^{6/5})\backslash\mathfrak{M}(R), & \mathfrak{A} &= \mathfrak{M}(R)\backslash\mathfrak{P}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Then evidently $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} \cup \mathfrak{D} \cup \mathfrak{U} \cup \mathfrak{A}$, so

$$S(\mathfrak{p}) = S(\mathfrak{m}) + S(\mathfrak{D}) + S(\mathfrak{U}) + S(\mathfrak{A}). \tag{5.4}$$

For any positive number Y, define

$$I(Y)=\int_{\mathfrak{m}}|f(\alpha)^2K(\alpha)^6|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

It is then a consequence of Corollary 3.2 of [5] that when Y is chosen as in (2.1), we have the bound

$$I(Y)\ll P^{19/4-\tau/2}Y^{-3/4}. \tag{5.5}$$

Proposition 5.1. *As long as $\theta\le 2/9$, we have*

$$S(\mathfrak{m})\ll P^{175/79-\tau/6}R^{13/6-2\tau/3}.$$

Proof. Applications of Bessel's inequality followed by Hölder's inequality reveal that

$$S(\mathfrak{m})\le\int_{\mathfrak{m}}|f(\alpha)^2K(\alpha)^2h(\alpha)^4|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha\le I(Y)^{1/3}\Big(\int_0^1|f(\alpha)^2h(\alpha)^6|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha\Big)^{2/3}. \tag{5.6}$$

The restriction $\theta\le 2/9$ enables the application of Corollary 4.2 here. Together with (5.5) and (2.1), this gives

$$S(\mathfrak{m})\ll(P^{19/4-\tau/2}Y^{-3/4})^{1/3}(PR^{13/4-\tau})^{2/3},$$

and the desired conclusion follows from a modest calculation. ☐

Note that when $\theta\ge 192/869$, the bound provided by this proposition is indeed $o(PR^4)$.

Next we evaluate $S(\mathfrak{D})$. For any non-negative integer l, if the dyadic interval $(2^lP^{6/5},2^{l+1}P^{6/5}]$ lies within the interval $(P^{6/5},PY^3]$, then l satisfies the inequality $0\le l\le c\log P$, where $c=86/(395\log 2)$. By introducing another dissection in the shape

$$\mathfrak{k}(X)=\mathfrak{M}(2X)\backslash\mathfrak{M}(X), \tag{5.7}$$

we can thus split $\mathfrak{D}$ into the disjoint union

$$\mathfrak{D}=\bigcup_{0\le l\le c\log P}\mathfrak{k}(2^lP^{6/5}). \tag{5.8}$$

Whence it suffices to consider $S(\mathfrak{k}(X))$ when $X\in(P^{6/5},PY^3]$. With $\lambda=3/34-\tau/4$ and X as such, we record for future reference the bound

$$\int_{\mathfrak{k}(X)}|f(\alpha)^2K(\alpha)^5|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha\ll P^{4+\lambda+\varepsilon}Y^{-1-\lambda}(PY^3X^{-1})^{1/2}, \tag{5.9}$$

which is provided by equation (5.6) of [5]. This inequality yields the following bound for $S(\mathfrak{k}(X))$.

Lemma 5.2. *Whenever $P^{6/5}<X\le PY^3$ and $\theta\le 2/9$, we have*

$$S(\mathfrak{k}(X))\ll P^{13/6+\lambda/3+\varepsilon}Y^{1/6-\lambda/3}R^{13/6-2\tau/3}.$$

Proof. Applications of Bessel's inequality and Hölder's inequality reveal that

$$S(\mathfrak{k}(X)) \leq \int_{\mathfrak{k}(X)} |f(\alpha)^2 K(\alpha)^2 h(\alpha)^4| \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \tag{5.10}$$

$$\leq \Big(\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}(X)} |f(\alpha)| \Big)^{1/3} \int_0^1 |f(\alpha)^{5/3} K(\alpha)^2 h(\alpha)^4| \, \mathrm{d}\alpha. \tag{5.11}$$

On recalling that $X \geq P^{6/5}$, successive applications of (3.3), (3.13) and Theorem 4.2 of [13] give rise to the bound

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}(X)} |f(\alpha)| \ll P X^{-1/3} + X^{1/2+\varepsilon} \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon}. \tag{5.12}$$

This together with another use of Hölder's inequality on (5.13) lead to

$$S(\mathfrak{k}(X)) \ll \Big(X^{1/2+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathfrak{k}(X)} |f(\alpha)^2 K(\alpha)^5| \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \Big)^{1/3} T_2^{1/6} T_1^{1/2}. \tag{5.13}$$

Applications of (5.9) as well as Corollary 4.2 thus yield

$$S(\mathfrak{k}(X)) \ll P^{\varepsilon} (X^{1/2} P^{4+\lambda} Y^{-1-\lambda} (P Y^3 X^{-1})^{1/2})^{1/3} (P R^{13/4-\tau})^{2/3}.$$

A modicum of computation confirms that this is indeed the bound in the statement of the lemma. □

The splitting in (5.8) reveals that

$$S(\mathfrak{D}) = \sum_{0 \leq l \leq c \log P} S(\mathfrak{k}(2^l P^{6/5})) \ll P^{13/6+\lambda/3} Y^{1/6-\lambda/3} R^{13/6}.$$

With reference to (2.1) and the value of λ, we have the following result.

Proposition 5.3. *Provided that $\theta \leq 2/9$, we have*

$$S(\mathfrak{D}) \ll P^{175/79 - 17\tau/237} R^{13/6}.$$

The reader can check that this bound is $o(PR^4)$ when $\theta \geq 192/869$.

The treatment of $S(\mathfrak{U})$ is similar. For any non-negative integer l, if

$$(2^{-l} P^{6/5}, 2^{-l+1} P^{6/5}] \subseteq (R, P^{6/5}],$$

then l satisfies the constraint $R \leq 2^{-l} P^{6/5} \leq P^{6/5}$. This implies that $0 \leq l \leq c' \log P$, where $c' = 6/(5 \log 2)$. It follows that

$$\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \bigcup_{0 \leq l \leq c' \log P} \mathfrak{k}(2^{-l} P^{6/5}), \tag{5.14}$$

We therefore need a bound for $S(\mathfrak{k}(X))$ in the case where $R \leq X \leq P^{6/5}$. This is provided by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Whenever $R \leq X \leq P^{6/5}$ and $\theta \leq 2/9$, we have*

$$S(\mathfrak{k}(X)) \ll P^{7/3} R^{13/6 - 2\tau/3} X^{\varepsilon - 1/3} + X P^{1+\varepsilon} R^2.$$

Proof. By (3.3), when $\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}(X)$, we have

$$f(\alpha) = f^*(\alpha) + O(X^{1/2+\varepsilon}). \tag{5.15}$$

Inequality (5.10) followed by this estimate implies that

$$S(\mathfrak{k}(X)) \ll I_1(X) + X^{1+\varepsilon} \int_0^1 |K(\alpha)^2 h(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha, \tag{5.16}$$

where

$$I_1(X) = \int_{\mathfrak{k}(X)} |f^*(\alpha)^2 K(\alpha)^2 h(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha. \tag{5.17}$$

Corollary 4.4 implies that when $\theta \le 2/9$ and $X \le P^{6/5}$, the second term in (5.16) is $O(XP^{1+\varepsilon}R^2)$. Meanwhile, an application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$I_1(X) \le T_2^{2/3} U_1^{1/12} U(X)^{1/4}.$$

Successive applications of Lemmata 4.5, 4.2 and (4.2) give rise to

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(X) &\ll (PR^{13/4-\tau})^{2/3}(P^5)^{1/12}(P^5X^{\varepsilon-4/3})^{1/4} \\ &= P^{7/3}R^{13/6-2\tau/3}X^{\varepsilon-1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma then follows by inserting this bound into (5.16). □

The relation (5.14) implies that

$$S(\mathfrak{U}) \le \sum_{0\le l\le c'\log P} S(\mathfrak{k}(2^{-l}P^{6/5})).$$

Applying Lemma 5.4 to each term in the sum gives

$$S(\mathfrak{U}) \ll \sum_{0\le l\le c'\log P} \Big(P^{7/3}R^{13/6-2\tau/3}(2^{-l}P^{6/5})^{\varepsilon-1/3} + (2^{-l}P^{6/5})P^{1+\varepsilon}R^2 \Big) \tag{5.18}$$

$$\ll P^{29/15+\varepsilon}R^{13/6-2\tau/3} + P^{11/5+\varepsilon}R^2. \tag{5.19}$$

The constraint $\theta \le 1/3$ implies that the second term here dominates. This is summarised by the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. *Whenever θ is a real number with $\theta \le 2/9$, we have*

$$S(\mathfrak{U}) \ll P^{11/5+\varepsilon}R^2.$$

In particular, when $\theta > 1/5$, the bound here is $o(PR^4)$.

For the treatment of $S(\mathfrak{A})$ in (5.4), we apply Bessel's inequality and Hölder's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathfrak{A}) &\le \int_{\mathfrak{A}} |f^*(\alpha)^2 K(\alpha)^2 h(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \\ &\le U_2^{1/12} \Big(\int_{\mathfrak{M}(R)} |f^*(\alpha)^{7/3} h(\alpha)^6| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \Big)^{2/3} U_1^{1/4}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

According to (4.7) and (2.3), the integral here is just $U_3(7/3, R; \mathcal{B})$ with $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}(R)$. Applying Lemmata 4.5, 4.6 and (4.2) on (5.20) immediately gives

$$S(\mathfrak{A}) \ll (P^{7/3}L^{-4/9})^{1/12}(P^{-2/3}R^6)^{2/3}(P^5)^{1/4},$$

the result of which is stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.6. *For any positive number θ with $\theta \le 1/3$, we have*

$$S(\mathfrak{A}) \ll PR^4L^{-1/27}.$$

Propositions 5.1, 5.3, 5.5 and 5.6, together with (5.4), thus imply the following.

Proposition 5.7. *Let θ be a real number satisfying $192/869 \le \theta \le 2/9$. Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{p}) \ll PR^4L^{-1/50}.$$

A simple averaging argument then reveals that for all except $O(NL^{-1/100})$ integers n with $N < n \le 2N$, we have

$$\rho_\theta(n;\mathfrak{p}) \ll P^{-1}R^2L^{-1/200}.$$

This together with (2.1) yield the following proposition.

Proposition 5.8. *Whenever θ is a real number satisfying $192/869 \le \theta \le 2/9$, we have*

$$\rho_\theta(n;\mathfrak{p}) \ll n^{2\theta-1/3}(\log n)^{-1/20}$$

for all integers n with $N < n \le 2N$, with at most $O(N(\log N)^{-1/10})$ exceptions.

Theorem 1.1 follows from Propositions 3.1 and 5.8, recalling that $r_\theta(n) \ge \rho_\theta(n)$, and finally by summing over dyadic intervals.

6. The asymptotic formula

Our goal in this section is to establish Theorem 1.2. Let N be a large integer, $P = (N/4)^{1/3}$, and R be a parameter in the interval $[N^\theta, (2N)^\theta]$. Observe that if n is the integer in (1.1) with $N < n \le 2N$, then at least one of x_1, x_2, y_1 and y_2 is greater than P. We know from the restrictions that $y_1, y_2 \le n^\theta$ with $\theta \le 1/3$ that neither y_1^3 nor y_2^3 exceeds P. So one of x_1 and x_2 is greater than P. For all integers n with $N < n \le 2N$, we thus define $\sigma_\theta(n)$ to be the number of solutions to (1.1) with

$$1 \le x_1, x_2 \le 2P, \qquad \max\{x_1, x_2\} > P, \qquad 1 \le y_1, y_2 \le R. \tag{6.1}$$

When $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, write

$$F(\alpha) = \sum_{1\le x\le 2P} e(\alpha x^3), \qquad F_0(\alpha) = \sum_{1\le x\le P} e(\alpha x^3) \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$G(\alpha) = \sum_{1\le y\le R} e(\alpha y^3). \tag{6.3}$$

For any measurable set $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq [0, 1)$, write

$$\sigma_\theta(n;\mathfrak{B}) = \int_{\mathfrak{B}} \Big(F(\alpha)^2 - F_0(\alpha)^2\Big)G(\alpha)^2e(-n\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha. \tag{6.4}$$

Then by orthogonality, we have $\sigma_\theta(n) = \sigma_\theta(n; [0, 1))$ for all integers n with $N < n \le 2N$.

Take $L = (\log P)^{100}$. Recall the respective definitions (2.7) and (2.8) of $\mathfrak{P}$ and $\mathfrak{M}(X)$. For all integers a and q with $0 \le a \le q \le P^{3/4}$ and $(a, q) = 1$, introduce the major arc

$$\mathfrak{N}(q, a) = \mathfrak{M}(q, a; P^{3/4}). \tag{6.5}$$

Write $\mathfrak{N}$ for the union of all these major arcs $\mathfrak{N}(q, a)$, and $\mathfrak{n} = [0, 1)\backslash\mathfrak{N}$ be the corresponding minor arc.

7. Major arc estimate

As in section 2, we first seek approximations for the generating functions in the integral defining $\sigma_\theta(n; \mathfrak{P})$. Recall the definition (4.4) of $w(\beta; Z)$ in section 4. By Theorem 4.1 of [13], when $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a, q) = 1$, we have the relation

$$F(\alpha) = q^{-1}S(q, a)w(\alpha - a/q; 2P) + O(q^{1/2+\varepsilon}(1 + P^3|\alpha - a/q|)^{1/2}). \tag{7.1}$$

In particular, whenever $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}(q, a) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$, we get

$$F(\alpha) = q^{-1}S(q, a)w(\alpha - a/q; 2P) + O(L^{1/2+\varepsilon}). \tag{7.2}$$

By the same token, when $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}(q, a)$, we have

$$F_0(\alpha) = q^{-1}S(q, a)w(\alpha - a/q; P) + O(L^{1/2+\varepsilon}) \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$G(\alpha) = q^{-1}S(q, a)w(\alpha - a/q; R) + O(L^{1/2+\varepsilon}). \tag{7.4}$$

When β is a real number, write

$$W(\beta) = (w(\beta; 2P)^2 - w(\beta; P)^2)w(\beta; R)^2. \tag{7.5}$$

We deduce from (7.2), (7.3) and (7.4) that

$$(F(\alpha)^2 - F_0(\alpha)^2)G(\alpha)^2 = (q^{-1}S(q, a))^4 W(\alpha - a/q) + O(P^2 L^{1+\varepsilon}). \tag{7.6}$$

Define $\mathfrak{S}(n; L)$ and $A(q, n)$ as in (3.10) and (3.9) respectively, and write

$$\mathcal{J}(n; L) = \int_{-L/N}^{L/N} W(\beta)e(-n\beta)\,\mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{7.7}$$

Recall that the measure $\mathfrak{P}$ is $O(L^3/N)$. Integrating both sides of (7.6) against $e(-n\alpha)$ over all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}$ thus gives

$$\sigma_\theta(n; \mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{S}(n; L)\mathcal{J}(n; L) + O(P^{-1}L^{4+\varepsilon}). \tag{7.8}$$

Recall the definition (3.2) of $v(\beta; Z)$. From (7.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} W(\beta) &= \Big((v(\beta; P) + w(\beta; P))^2 - w(\beta; P)^2\Big)w(\beta; R)^2 \\ &= (v(\beta; P)^2 + 2v(\beta; P)w(\beta; P))w(\beta; R)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

With this together with (4.14), (3.13) and a trivial estimate for $w(\beta; R)$, we get

$$W(\beta) \ll P^2 R^2 (1 + P^3|\beta|)^{-4/3}$$

for all real β. This confirms the absolute and uniform convergence over n of the singular integral

$$\mathcal{J}(n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(\beta)e(-n\beta)\,\mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{7.10}$$

Also, for all positive integers n, we have

$$|\mathcal{J}(n) - \mathcal{J}(n;L)| \ll P^2R^2 \int_{L/N}^{\infty} (1+P^3\beta)^{-4/3}\mathrm{d}\beta \ll P^{-1}R^2L^{-1/3}. \tag{7.11}$$

The value of the singular integral can be computed as follows. Putting (7.9) into (7.10) gives

$$\mathcal{J}(n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (v(\beta;P)^2 + 2v(\beta;P)w(\beta;P))w(\beta;R)^2e(-n\beta)\,\mathrm{d}\beta.$$

By a change of variables, when Z is any positive number and β is real, we have

$$v(\beta;Z) = Zv(\beta Z^3;1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad w(\beta;Z) = Zw(\beta Z^3;1).$$

These two equalities imply that

$$\mathcal{J}(n) = P^{-1}R^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(v(\beta;1)^2 + 2v(\beta;1)w(\beta;1)\Big)w(\beta R^3P^{-3};1)^2e(-n\beta P^{-3})\,\mathrm{d}\beta. \tag{7.12}$$

Using a first order Taylor approximation, we have

$$w(\xi;1) = 1 + O(\min\{1,|\xi|\})$$

for all real ξ. Meanwhile, the inequalities (3.13) and (4.14) imply that

$$v(\beta;1)^2 + 2v(\beta;1)w(\beta;1) \ll |\beta|^{-4/3}$$

for all real β. Coupled with (7.12), these two facts give rise to the equality

$$\mathcal{J}(n) = P^{-1}R^2(\mathcal{J}^*(n) + E), \tag{7.13}$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}^*(n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(v(\beta;1)^2 + 2v(\beta;1)w(\beta;1)\Big)e(-n\beta P^{-3})\,\mathrm{d}\beta, \tag{7.14}$$

and

$$E \ll \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta^{-4/3}\min\{1,\beta R^3P^{-3}\}\,\mathrm{d}\beta \ll P^{-1}R. \tag{7.15}$$

According to p. 21-22 of [7], we have $\mathcal{J}^*(n) = \Gamma(4/3)^2\Gamma(2/3)^{-1}$. This, together with (7.13), (7.14), (7.15) and our choice of parameters, gives rise to the asymptotic formula

$$\mathcal{J}(n) = \frac{\Gamma(4/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)}n^{2\theta-1/3}(1+O(n^{\theta-1/3})).$$

Meanwhile, the singular series $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ as defined in (3.17) stays absolutely and uniformly convergent. The estimate (3.18) remains true for all but $O(NL^{-1/16})$ integers n with $N < n \leq 2N$. This together with (7.11) and (7.8) lead to the following proposition.

Proposition 7.1. *Let θ be a positive number with $\theta \le 1/3$. Then for all but $O(N(\log N)^{-6})$ integers n with $N < n \le 2N$, we have*

$$\sigma_\theta(n;\mathfrak{P}) = \frac{\Gamma(4/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)}\mathfrak{S}(n)P^{-1}R^2 + O(P^{-1}R^2(\log N)^{-6}). \tag{7.16}$$

8. Minor arc estimate

As in section 2, we wish to obtain a bound for the mean square value

$$\sum_{N<n\le 2N} |\sigma_\theta(n;\mathfrak{p})|^2$$

which is $o(PR^4)$. When $\mathfrak{B}$ is a measurable subset of $[0,1)$, write

$$\Xi(\mathfrak{B}) = \int_{\mathfrak{B}} |(F(\alpha)^2 - F_0(\alpha)^2)^2 G(\alpha)^4|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha. \tag{8.1}$$

An application of Bessel's inequality gives

$$\sum_{N<n\le 2N} |\sigma_\theta(n;\mathfrak{p})|^2 \le \Xi(\mathfrak{p}) \tag{8.2}$$

We then make use of the pruning $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n} \cup (\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P})$ and the splitting

$$\Xi(\mathfrak{p}) = \Xi(\mathfrak{n}) + \Xi(\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}). \tag{8.3}$$

Define f as in section 2. From the factorisation

$$F(\alpha)^2 - F_0(\alpha)^2 = f(\alpha)(F(\alpha) + F_0(\alpha)),$$

we can expand $\Xi(\mathfrak{n})$ as

$$\Xi(\mathfrak{n}) \ll \int_{\mathfrak{n}} |f(\alpha)^2 F(\alpha)^2 G(\alpha)^4|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha + \int_{\mathfrak{n}} |f(\alpha)^2 F_0(\alpha)^2 G(\alpha)^4|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha. \tag{8.4}$$

An application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$\int_{\mathfrak{n}} |f(\alpha)^2 F(\alpha)^2 G(\alpha)^4|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha \le \Big(\sup_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{n}} |f(\alpha)|\Big)^2 \int_0^1 |F(\alpha)^2 G(\alpha)^4|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha. \tag{8.5}$$

A modified version of Weyl's inequality (see, for instance, Lemma 1 of [10]) confirms that

$$\sup_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{n}} |f(\alpha)| \ll P^{3/4+\varepsilon}. \tag{8.6}$$

Meanwhile, Lemma 4.3 gives the bound

$$\int_0^1 |F(\alpha)^2 G(\alpha)^4|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha \ll P^\varepsilon(PR^2 + P^{-1}R^{9/2}). \tag{8.7}$$

Hence (8.5), (8.6) and (8.7) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathfrak{n}} |f(\alpha)^2 F(\alpha)^2 G(\alpha)^4|\,\mathrm{d}\alpha &\ll (P^{3/4})^2 P^\varepsilon(PR^2 + P^{-1}R^{9/2}) \\ &= P^\varepsilon(P^{5/2}R^2 + P^{1/2}R^{9/2}).\end{aligned}$$

An almost identical argument yields the same upper bound for the other integral in (8.4), whence

$$\Xi(\mathfrak{n}) \ll P^{\varepsilon}(P^{5/2}R^2 + P^{1/2}R^{9/2}). \tag{8.8}$$

As for the contribution from the set of arcs $\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}$, note that expanding in (8.1) gives

$$\Xi(\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}) \ll \int_{\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}} |F(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha + \int_{\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}} |F_0(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha. \tag{8.9}$$

Recalling the respective definitions (4.5) and (6.5) of F^* and $\mathfrak{N}(q,a)$, we deduce from (7.1) that

$$F(\alpha) = F^*(\alpha; P^{3/4}) + O(P^{3/8+\varepsilon})$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}$. An application of Hua's lemma thus yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}} |F(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}} |F^*(\alpha; P^{3/4})^4 G(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha + O\Big(P^{3/2+\varepsilon} \int_0^1 |G(\alpha)|^4 \,\mathrm{d}\alpha\Big) \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}} |F^*(\alpha; P^{3/4})^4 G(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha + O(P^{3/2+\varepsilon}R^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{8.10}$$

An application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}} |F^*(\alpha; P^{3/4})^4 G(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \\
&\le \Big(\int_{\mathfrak{N}} |F^*(\alpha; P^{3/4})^{7/2} G(\alpha)^6| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha\Big)^{2/3} \Big(\int_{\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}} |F^*(\alpha)|^5 \,\mathrm{d}\alpha\Big)^{1/3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

From (4.8) and (6.3), the first integral here is $U_4(7/2, P^{3/4}; \mathcal{B})$ with $\mathcal{B} = [1,R] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. An application of Lemma 4.6 thus yields the estimate

$$\int_{\mathfrak{N}} |F^*(\alpha; P^{3/4})^{7/2} G(\alpha)^6| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \ll P^{1/2}R^6. \tag{8.12}$$

Now the argument of Lemma 5.1 of [11] provides the bound

$$\int_{\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}} |F^*(\alpha; P^{3/4})|^5 \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \ll P^2 L^{-1}. \tag{8.13}$$

Inserting the estimates (8.12) and (8.13) into (8.11), and using (8.10), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}} |F(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^4| \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \ll (P^{1/2}R^6)^{2/3}(P^2L^{-1})^{1/3} + P^{3/2+\varepsilon}R^2 \ll PR^4L^{-1/3}.$$

A parallel argument yields the same upper bound for the remaining integral in (8.9). We therefore obtain

$$\Xi(\mathfrak{N}\backslash\mathfrak{P}) \ll PR^4L^{-1/3}.$$

This together with (8.2), (8.3) and (8.8) imply the following.

Proposition 8.1. *As long as* $1/4 < \theta < 1/3$, *we have*

$$\sum_{N<n\leq 2N} |\sigma_\theta(n;\mathfrak{p})|^2 \ll PR^4L^{-1/25}.$$

We conclude this section with a proof of Theorem 1.2. A simple averaging argument from the above Proposition implies that for such θ, the inequality

$$|\sigma_\theta(n;\mathfrak{p})| \ll P^{-1}R^2L^{-1/100} \ll P^{-1}R^2(\log N)^{-1} \tag{8.14}$$

holds for all integers n with $N < n \leq N + N(\log N)^{-2}$, with $O(N(\log N)^{-4})$ exceptions. Coupled with the conclusion of Proposition 7.1, the upper bound (8.14) implies that

$$\sigma_\theta(n) = \sigma_\theta(n;[0,1)) = \frac{\Gamma(4/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)}\mathfrak{S}(n)P^{-1}R^2 + O(P^{-1}R^2(\log N)^{-1}) \tag{8.15}$$

for all but $O(N(\log N)^{-4})$ integers n with $N < n \leq N + N(\log N)^{-2}$. For all such n and θ, we have

$$N^\theta < n^\theta \leq N^\theta + O(N^\theta(\log N)^{-2}).$$

Whence there exists a positive constant A such that

$$N^\theta < n^\theta \leq N^\theta + AN^\theta(\log N)^{-2}.$$

Recall the definition (1.1) of $r_\theta(n)$ as well as equation (1.3) of [8], which gives $1 \ll \mathfrak{S}(n) \ll (\log\log n)^4$. Putting first $R = N^\theta$ and then $R = N^\theta + AN^\theta(\log N)^{-2}$ into (8.15), we arrive at the relation

$$r_\theta(n) = \frac{\Gamma(4/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)}\mathfrak{S}(n)N^{2\theta-1/3} + O(N^{2\theta-1/3}(\log N)^{\varepsilon-2}).$$

Hence when $1/4 < \theta < 1/3$, the aysmptotic formula

$$r_\theta(n) = \frac{\Gamma(4/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)}\mathfrak{S}(n)n^{2\theta-1/3} + O(n^{2\theta-1/3}(\log n)^{-1})$$

holds for all but $O(N(\log N)^{-4})$ integers n with $N < n \leq N + N(\log N)^{-2}$. There are altogether $O((\log N)^3)$ intervals of such type that cover $[1, 2N]$. Summing over all such intervals leads to the same asymptotic formula with the total number of exceptions encountered being $O(N(\log N)^{-1})$. The conclusion of Theorem 1.2 thus holds for all real numbers θ in the range $(1/4, 1/3)$.

References

[1] J. Brüdern, *A problem in additive number theory*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **103** (1988), 27-33.

[2] J. Brüdern, *Sums of four cubes*, Monatsh. Math. **107** (1989), 179-188.

[3] J. Brüdern, *On Waring's problem for cubes*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **109** (1991), 229-256.

[4] J. Brüdern and T. D. Wooley, *On Waring's problem: three cubes and a sixth power*, Nagoya Math. J. **163** (2001), 13-53.

[5] J. Brüdern and T. D. Wooley, *On Waring's problem: three cubes and a minicube* (2009), Nagoya Math. J. (to appear).

[6] H. Davenport, *On Waring's problem for cubes*, Acta. Math. **71** (1939), 123-143.
[7] H. Davenport, *Analytic methods for Diophantine equations and Diophantine inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 2005.
[8] K. Kawada, *On the sum of four cubes*, Mathematika **43** (1996), 323-348.
[9] R. C. Vaughan, *Sums of three cubes*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **17** (1985), 17-20.
[10] R. C. Vaughan, *On Waring's problem for cubes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **365** (1986), 122-170.
[11] R. C. Vaughan, *A new iterative method in Waring's problem*, Acta Math. **162** (1989), 1-71.
[12] R. C. Vaughan, *On Waring's problem for cubes II*, J. London Math. Soc. **39** (1989), 205-218.
[13] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy-Littlewood method*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
[14] R. C. Vaughan and T. D. Wooley, *Further improvements in Waring's problem, IV: Higher powers*, Acta Arith. **94** (2000), 226-229.
[15] T. D. Wooley, *On simultaneous additive equations II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **419** (1991), 141-198.
[16] T. D. Wooley, *Breaking classical convexity in Waring's problem: sums of cubes and quasi-diagonal behaviour*, Invent. Math. **122** (1995), 421-451.
[18] T. D. Wooley, *A light-weight version of Waring's problem*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **76** (2004), 303-316.

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, University Walk, Bristol BS8 1TW, United Kingdom
E-mail address: `sl5701@bris.ac.uk`